

Sale of Real Estates in Turkey in Relation to Globalization

INDEX

- 1. Introduction Page 1

- 2. Turkey And Sale of Real Estate..... Page 1

- 3. EU As A Global Power and Its Impact Over The Recent Members As Regards To Sale Real Estates Page 5
 - a) Candidate State’s Position In Reaction To Liberalization On Sale of Real Estates In The Process Of EU Enlargement..... Page 5

 - b) Judicial Process Concerning Liberalization Of Sale Of Real Estate In The Course Of EU Enlargement..... Page 6

 - c) Legal Development in Turkey Under The Impact Of EU And Global Markets... Page 8

 - d) Analysis Of Legal Situation..... Page 10

- 4. Turkish Foreign Direct Investment Law numbered 4875..... Page 11

Sale of Real Estates In Turkey in Relation to Globalization

1. Introduction

Real estate has historically been viewed as a local phenomenon. Builders and investors for decades prided themselves in their ability to find the best "location, location, location" based on their local knowledge. It is among the least "tradable" of products, in the sense of being physically unmovable, even though it can be bought and sold both domestically and internationally. This combination of local knowledge and predominantly local tradability was the primary reason why discussions of globalization in the 1990s and earlier overlooked the real estate industry as a possible participant in the ongoing phenomenon of increasing global economic integration. Although an occasional headline would be grabbed by a foreign purchase of a local landmark the business itself remained largely local.

In the last decade, however, globalization has increasingly involved the internationalization of services sectors as much as of manufacturing, and the various sub-sectors of the real estate industry have been enthusiastic participants in this global surge. Builders, brokerage firms, consulting and services firms, real estate finance firms and investors have extended their area of operations beyond local markets to a worldwide base. Several factors have led to this transformation of the industry. Technological changes have extended the geographic reach and weakened the nexus between "local" and "location." The opening up of formerly closed economies in the developing world has provided significant opportunities for real estate firms across the globe.¹

In the early 21st Century the rules and principles of international trade and investment require states to provide additional domestic legal frameworks, though the pressures

¹ Ashok D. Bardhan & Cynthia A. Kroll, *Fisher Center Research Reports Globalization and Real Estate: Issues, Implications, Opportunities*, Fisher Center for Real Estate & Urban Economics (University of California, Berkeley), (2007), p. 1.

sometimes sit uneasily with local constitutional constraints and legal traditions.² There have long been national restrictions on the sale of land to foreigner entities; ground leases may be permitted in such countries. Such restrictions are often associated with “closed societies” or developing countries. For example, Singapore has for many years restricted the sale of land to foreign individuals while perhaps permitting the sale of its scarce land residential purposes to foreign companies that wish to make house available for their expatriates, China has long restricted the sale of lands to foreign entities; ground leases may be available to foreigners.³

The Countries of the middle east, which are sometimes called ‘close societies’ partly due to the dominance of the Muslim religion, usually do not permit the sale of lands to foreign entities, individual, company, for any purpose. If a foreigner developer wishes purchase land in Bahrain or Saudi Arabia, for example questions about land sales restrictions should be asked far in advance of any location decision making. Generally the Middle Eastern governments encourage foreign partnership or joint ventures with local property and business owners. Usually, government wishes the local partners to own the majority interest in the partnership, while the foreign entity contributes the majority of the funds and controls the business while holding only a minority interest in the company and no ownership of the real property.⁴

2. A Brief Legal Overview of Land Acquisition In Turkey

Land Registry

A land registry (Tapu Sicili) has been established for the purpose of evidencing the transfer of possession and ownership of real property as well as rights such as mortgages. Such registry is also essential to the security of ownership in real property in that it permits the establishment of clear title as a matter of record. Some of important principles regulate land registration can be found below:

² Prof. Laurence Boule, *The Law of Globalisation*, (Bond University Press, 2008), page 78

³ M. A. Hines, *Investing in International Real Estate*, (Greenwood Publishing Group, Incorporated,,2000) page 118 paragraph 1

⁴ M. A. Hines, *Investing in International Real Estate*, (Greenwood Publishing Group, Incorporated,,2000) page 118 paragraph 2

- 1) No real right in immovable property may be acquired without registration of such right. If land is bought, this fact must be registered in the land registry, otherwise the formal owner will remain the legal owner and may resell the land to a bona fide purchaser who will take the title.
- 2) All person having a convincing interest may inspect the land registry.⁵

Acquisition of immovable property

Generally, transfer of title to real property is valid only if recorded in the land registry either by way of entry or by a cancellation of an existing entry. Such registration is the equivalent of the transfer of possession of personal property by delivery. In order to register a property there should be an agreement between the parties, which, if it is to be valid, is made before the land registration officer. If the parties are not able to present at that office personally, they may authorize another person by a notarial deed to represent them.⁶

3. Sales of Real Estate In Turkey

Traditionally Western Europeans have bought property mainly in European-Mediterranean countries such as Spain , France , Italy and Cyprus as well as famous US destinations like Florida . However, these places have recently become extremely expensive and saturated. Nowadays, the European citizens have been seeking other alternative destinations.

Turkey is on one of the fastest growing global emerging markets. It's prospective European Union membership has opened the floodgates of foreigners interested in buying property in Turkey. The increase in Turkey's political and economic stability is likely to add the multitude of world travelers that flock to the country's pristine beaches and rich ancient cultural sites.

Turkey's impending EU membership provides growth potential that will certainly boost the prices of real estate there in the future. Buying property now can lead to gains as property prices there continue to increase. The property prices in Turkey are significantly lower than other European destinations and still at value prices. Taxes are comparatively low in the country, as well. Property investment experts Amber lamb rated Turkey's property sector as one of the top five expected European market performers in capital terms of capital

⁵ T. Ansay & D. Wallace, Jr. , *Introduction to Turkish Law*, (Kluwer Law International 5th Edition,2004) p. 143

⁶ T. Ansay & D. Wallace, Jr. , *Introduction to Turkish Law*, (Kluwer Law International 5th Edition,2004) p. 147

appreciation in 2007. Both residential and vacation properties are available and profitable purchases for foreign nationals in Turkey.⁷

Additionally, housing availability trails demand in the country. Since Turkish parliament ratified a law in January 2006 allowing foreign nationals to purchase property, foreign nationals are afforded the same property ownership rights as Turkish citizens. The reciprocity clause also must be met for foreign nationals to purchase property in Turkey. The clause states citizens of countries whose governments allow Turkish nationals to purchase real estate in their country are allowed to purchase real estate in Turkey. Most Western countries meet this standard. Also, there are no restrictions on selling and reselling, so recently bought property can quickly be sold.⁸

The Turkish property market is emerging rapidly and offers the investor a variety of possibilities to maximize gains on property investments. Property prices in Turkey are dependent upon where the property is located, the type of material used in construction and the property's architectural elements. Other value-determining aspects include how easy it is to reach the property, its proximity to an airport, the region's economic activities and availability of nearby services.

4. EU As A Global Power and Its Impact Over The Recent Members As Regards To Sale Of Real Estates

A) Candidate State's Position In Reaction To Liberalization On Sale of Real Estates In The Process Of EU Enlargement

Before the accession of new countries to EU, in Eastern Europe and in the Mediterranean Area, some countries were agreeing to liberalize land sales to foreigners, others were requesting transition rules for foreign purchase of their land as they all seek entrance into the European Union. The EU favored liberalization of the foreign land sale regulations of

⁷ Hasan At, 'Turkish Property News, The future of Property Business in Turkey', *Before You Buy Turkish Property*, (2008), p. 1.

⁸ Hasan At, 'Turkish Property News, The future of Property Business in Turkey', *Before You Buy Turkish Property*, (2008), p. 2.

prospective Eastern European countries as a part of the requirements for EU membership. Here are some examples of the requests from individual Eastern European and Mediterranean Countries to the European Union.⁹

Poland negotiated for an 18-year ban on farm building land sales to foreigners and a 6 –year ban on land purchase for industrial purposes following the country’s approved membership in the EU. Poland hoped to be an EU member by the end of 2002. The transition periods would have run from the date of the membership admission. Foreigners had to apply for permission to purchase property in Poland. Since Poland had taken over a big part of prewar Germany, the Polish government was fearful that the German land would have been brought back without a land purchase ban. Land in Germany was approximately 10 times more expensive than Poland. The Polish government expected the land prices of Germany and near convergence by the end of proposed ban. The Czech Republic was expected to approach the EU with the same negotiating strategy as that of Poland, a very restrictive transitional plan for sales of Czech Land to foreigners.¹⁰

Estonia, which is one of the Baltic countries split away from Russia, and Slovenia, which was the northernmost state of the previous Yugoslavia and borders the Adriatic Sea across from Italy, both had agreed to fully liberalize land sales to foreigners. Since Estonia still had strong associations with Russia, its government actually had some anxiety over future land purchase by Russian entities. Slovenia wished to develop independence from its old Yugoslavian ties and not wanted to encourage Slovenian Land sales to Serbian and old Yugoslavian entities.¹¹ Cyprus which is located in the Mediterranean Sea off the southeast of Turkey, was negotiating for a transition agreement on the sales of houses to foreigners.¹²

B) Judicial Process Concerning Liberalization Of Sale Of Real Estate In The Course Of EU Enlargement

⁹ M. A. Hines, *Investing in International Real Estate*, (Greenwood Publishing Group, Incorporated,,2000) page 118 paragraph 3

¹⁰ M. A. Hines, *Investing in International Real Estate*, (Greenwood Publishing Group, Incorporated,,2000) page 118 paragraph 4

¹¹ M. A. Hines, *Investing in International Real Estate*, (Greenwood Publishing Group, Incorporated,,2000) page 119 paragraph 1

¹² M. A. Hines, *Investing in International Real Estate*, (Greenwood Publishing Group, Incorporated,,2000) page 119 paragraph 2

The central basis of the relationship between the EU and the Central Eastern European candidate countries in the pre-accession period has lain in the Association Agreements or so-called Europe Agreements. These agreements established an association between EU and individual countries, and aimed to help the countries to achieve their goal of EU membership. It's initially designed by the Commission as an alternative to accession, The European Agreements gradually evolved towards the main vehicle for accession.¹³

In the Europe Agreements Romania, Bulgaria, Latvia, the EC has excluded legal acts concerning real estate in frontier regions. By comparison, by Romania, Bulgaria and Lithuania had more areas excluded in their EAs. Romania has done so in relation to the purchase, ownership and sale of land, forestry and residential buildings not related to foreign investments, cultural and historic monuments and buildings, the organization of gambling , betting, lotteries and similar activities, and legal services (apart from advisory services) whereas Bulgaria has done so only in relation to the acquisition of land and dwellings (except where construction rights have been performed) and the ownership of real estate in certain regions. Lithuania has excluded the acquisition of land, mineral deposits and natural resources, and the organizations of gambling, betting, lotteries and similar activities. Latvia and Estonia had not excluded any sectors at all. Finally, Slovenia has excluded EC companies and nationals from organization of gambling, betting, lotteries and similar activities as well as from dealing and agency activities in relation to historical monuments and natural reserves, whereas the EC has not excluded any sector at all.¹⁴

During the negotiations for the 2004 accession candidate countries requested the possibility to maintain existing national provisions restricting the acquisition of agricultural land or forests by foreigners. They considered these derogations necessary in order to protect the socio-economic agricultural structure of the countries from shocks that might arise from the

¹³ Anneli Albi, *EU Enlargement and the Constitutions of Central and Eastern Europe*, (Cambridge University Press, University of Kent, Canterbury,2005) page 7

¹⁴ Andrea Ott and Kirstyn Inglis, Marc Maresceau, *Hand Book On European Enlargement: A Commentary On The Enlargement Process*, (TMC Asser Press,) page 463

differences in land prices and incomes with the rest of the Union, and to be able to pursue an effective agricultural policy. The derogations were also deemed necessary because of the unfinished process of privatization and restitution of agricultural land to the farmers in some countries. Some candidate countries provided detailed arguments justifying the transitional periods in the framework of the common positions expressed by the European Council during the negotiations. Seven new member states – the Czech¹⁵

Seven new member states – the Czech Republic, Estonia, Latvia, Lithuania, Hungary, Poland and Slovakia were granted transitional periods during which they could maintain existing provisions of their legislation restricting the acquisition of agricultural land or forests, in derogation of the freedom of capital movement enshrined in Art. 56 of the EC Treaty, as detailed in Annexes V,VI, VIII, IX, X, XII and XIV of the Act of Accession of 2003. In that context, a midterm review of the transitional measures was stipulated, to determine whether the transitional periods should be shortened or terminated.¹⁶

C) Legal Development in Turkey Under The Impact Of EU And Global Markets

Globalization brings pressure for countries to harmonize unify laws in many areas of business and commerce in order to facilitate international trade and investment.¹⁷

As an EU candidate country, Turkey is required to adapt the *acquis communautaire* into its legislation. During negotiation process with EU, Turkey enacted many laws and amended many provisions in accordance to the EU harmonization process. In this sense, the principle of free movement of capital is one of the essential principle which EU is based on. The full liberalisation of capital movements in the EU was agreed in 1988 (Directive 88/361/EEC) and came into effect in 1990 for most Member States, while for the rest specific transitional

¹⁵ Johan F.M. Swinnen And Liesbet Vranken, *Land & EU accession : Review of The Transitional Restrictions By New member States on The Acquisition of Agricultural Real Estate*, (Center for European Study Policy Studies, Brussels, 2009) page.1. Paragraph 1

¹⁶ Johan F.M. Swinnen And Liesbet Vranken, *Land & EU accession : Review of The Transitional Restrictions By New member States on The Acquisition of Agricultural Real Estate*, (Center for European Study Policy Studies, Brussels, 2009) page 1 Paragraph 2

¹⁷ Prof. Laurence Boule, *The Law of Globalisation*, (Bond University Press, 2008) page 87

periods were agreed.¹⁸ Therefore, as other member countries Turkey was obliged to remove restrictions affecting foreign direct investments originating from the EU. For this purpose, Turkey amended the article 35 of Land Registry Law within the regulation of the acquisition of real estate in the country by foreigners within the framework of EU principles.¹⁹

The principles governing purchase of property by foreign (i.e. non-Turkish) nationals in Turkey is governed by the 1934 Property Act (Law Nr. 2644 dated 22 November 1934). The legal framework set up in 1934 was modified for a first time by a by-law (Law Nr. 4916) dated 3 July 2003. This law was predicated on a reciprocity clause; that is to say, citizens of countries whose governments allow Turkish nationals to purchase real estate in their country, were to be allowed to purchase real estate in Turkey.²⁰

However, following steps taken by Turkey's main opposition party CHP, the modifications brought by the 2003 by-law were declared as void by the Turkish Constitutional Court on 26 April 2005, in a decision to enter into effect as of 27 July 2005 and the purchase of real estate by foreign nationals was suspended until a modified law dated 7 January 2006 was brought into effect. Constitutional Court annulled some parts of the provision due to its unlimited sale character. The court has decided not to strike out sections E and F, but annulled Section D, which reads as follows: "*Companies may freely acquire real estate or limited rights in rem through a legal entity established or participated in by foreign investors in Turkey, provided that such acquisitions are permitted for Turkish citizens.*" (Rights in rem, distinguished from rights in personam, refer to those property rights acquired by owners either by first possession or by grant from a previous owner.) This section means that as foreign legal persons, companies with Foreign Direct Investment capital, registered under the Turkish Commercial Code, can acquire real estate under the principle of national treatment.²¹

¹⁸ The free movement of Capital, http://ec.europa.eu/internal_market/capital/index_en.htm

¹⁹ Secretariat General of EU Affairs, *Turkey's programme for alignment with the acquis*, p 1.

http://www.abgs.gov.tr/files/UlusalProgram/UlusalProgram_2008/En/Doc/iv_04_freemovementofcapital.doc

²⁰ Turkish Land Registry Law Numbered 4916, 3 July 2003,

<http://www.tkgm.gov.tr/turkce/dosyalar/diger/GENELGELER/867.doc>

²¹ Case 2006/35, The Constitutional Court of Turkey Decision, 26 July 2005, <http://www.anayasa.gov.tr/general/haberdetay.asp?contID=378>

A new Law Nr. 5444, now enacted, instead of being a by-law modifying various paragraphs of the 1934 Land Registry Law, is a fully stated legal text (still on the basis of a modification of the 1934 Act). This current law is retrospective in its application to 26 July 2005 and is largely the same as the law of 3 July 2003, with notable amendments, especially with regards to size limitations. The total area of the real estates and limited real rights on real estates that a real person of foreign nationality can acquire all over the country can't exceed 25.000 square meters (6,17 acres). Within the same conditions set out in this paragraph, the Council of Ministers is authorized to increase the area up to 30 hectares (74,13 acres)."²²

Concerning article was explicitly laid down the sale of real estates as stating "With the reservation of reciprocity and compliance with legal restrictions, foreign real person can acquire real estates for the purposes of using as residence or business aims in Turkey that are separated and registered for these purposes in the implemented development plans or localized development plans. The same conditions shall be stipulated in the establishment of limited real rights on real estates.

D) Analysis Of Legal Situation

In the light of such information, it can be said that there is an apparent controversy between the government which is under the pressure of global markets and the constitutional court which devotes itself to protect interests of the nation state. It is necessary to say foreign ownership of real estate has been controversial for historical reasons dating back to the Ottoman Empire as well as for ideological reasons. But considering EU accession process, in the future this type of arguments will apparently intensify the struggle between the protectionists and EU supporting business class.

5. Turkish Foreign Direct Investment Law numbered 4875

In line with this idea, the "**Foreign Direct Investment Law No. 4875**" ("FDI Law"), which emphasizes the opening of the investment environment in Turkey, was enacted. This law was enacted with a view to eliminate a variety of problems relating to the foreign investors concerned about their ownership rights in host countries and to the worries of host

²² The article 35 of Turkish Land Registry Law Numbered 5444

countries' public with regard to the probable decrease in employment and loss of independence and ineffectiveness of the former existing Foreign Investment Promotion Law No. 6224 (the "Old Law"). The FDI Law also appropriately deals with foreign investors' rights by current international standards. The main objective of the FDI Law is to reduce the bureaucratic barriers that foreign investors face when doing business in Turkey. The FDI Law reflects Turkey's liberal approach to international investments and makes FDI easier to implement than the Old Law.²³

It should be noted that foreign investment companies incorporated in Turkey are not subject to the aforementioned restrictions in Turkish Law. According to the Foreign Direct Investment Law numbered 4875 and dated June 5, 2003, foreign investors are subject to equal treatment with Turkish investors, and because of that, foreign investment companies that are established in Turkey are not considered as foreign companies, but regarded as Turkish companies. Due to this Law, companies having legal personality which foreign investors participate in or establish in Turkey are allowed to acquire real estate or limited real rights in areas where the acquisition of these rights is allowed for Turkish Citizens.²⁴ The main opposition party CHP brought the dispute to the Constitutional Court asserting that reciprocity character of the provision is lacking.

The Constitutional Court has resolved with its decision dated 11.03.2008 and numbered E. 2003/71, K. (decision) 2008/79 to annul the provision set forth in subparagraph (d) of article 3 of the Foreign Direct Investment Law numbered 4875 which regulates acquisition of real estate by foreign investors through companies that possess legal personality which they establish or participate in Turkey, which reads as follows: *"Companies that possess legal personality and are incorporated or participated in by foreign investors in Turkey may freely acquire real estate or restricted rights in rem in the regions that are open to acquisition by Turkish citizens"* and in order to prevent creating a legal loophole, it has decided to have the

²³ Burcu Modanlı, *Turkey: Foreign Direct Investment in Turkey*, 11 January 2007, Page 1.

²⁴ Akugur, Akugur Law Firm, *Real Estate Investment In Turkey*, 29 August 2007, Page 3.

mentioned decision to become effective six months after its publication in the Official Gazette.²⁵

The annulment decision of the Constitutional Court was published in the Official Gazette numbered 26849 on 16.04.2008 and it has become effective on 16.10.2008.

However, as a result of the mentioned annulment of the Constitutional Court, in order not to cause any uncertainty, the Turkish Grand National Assembly adopted Law numbered 5782 Regarding Amendment of the Land Registry Law on 03.07.2008 and Article 2 of the Law numbered 5782 has amended Article 36 of the Land Registry Law numbered 2644, in a manner that would enable acquisition of real estate by the companies incorporated or participated in by the foreign investors in Turkey.

The Law numbered 5782, which enables the mentioned change, has been published in the Official Gazette on 15.07.2008, and in accordance with article 4 of the same Law, became effective with its publication in the Official Gazette. Therefore, with the Law numbered 5782 becoming effective, the concerns regarding the acquisition of real estate in our country by the companies incorporated or participated in by foreign investors in Turkey have been removed. However, even if the Law numbered 5782 which provides for this change was not in effect, companies possessing legal personality and are incorporated or participated in by foreign investors in Turkey could have continued to acquire real estate as there are no provisions preventing or prohibiting acquisition of real estate by these companies.²⁶

Before the new regulation, there was an impression that acquisition of real estate by the companies possessing legal personality and are incorporated or participated in by foreign investors in Turkey would be prevented after 16.10.2008, due to the annulment decision of the Constitutional Court. However, annulment of only subparagraph (d) of article 3 would not be sufficient to prevent acquisition of real estate by the companies possessing legal personality and are incorporated or participated in by foreign investors in Turkey. To the effect that, within the context of the Foreign Direct Investment Law numbered 4875,

²⁵ 2003/71, K. 2008/79, Turkish Constitutional Court Decision

²⁶ Insight YASED, Publication of International Investors Association of Turkey , *Article 36 of the Land Registry Law regarding acquirement of real estate by Turkish companies with foreign capital has been amended*, P. 36

companies that are incorporated or participated in by the foreign investors are companies that are subject to Turkish laws. This matter is explicitly stated in article 9 of the Regulation for Implementation of Foreign Direct Investment Law. According to article 9 of the Regulation, the companies, which can be incorporated or participated in by foreign investors are companies specified in the Turkish Commercial Code and simple partnerships specified in the Code of Obligations. Therefore, these companies that are incorporated or participated in by foreign investors are Turkish companies just as the companies that are incorporated by domestic investors and are subject to Turkish laws.

In addition, according to subparagraph (a/2) of article 3 of the Foreign Direct Investment Law numbered 4875, which is still in effect and not included in the annulment decision, unless international agreements and provisions of special laws provide for the contrary, foreign investors and domestic investors are subject to equal treatment. In this respect, when the related articles of the Land Registry Law numbered 2644 are reviewed, it can be observed that none of them prohibits acquisition of real estate by the Turkish companies that are incorporated in accordance with the Turkish laws and that possess legal personality. The previous regulation prior to the change brought by the Law numbered 5782 Regarding Amendment of the Land Registry Law prevented acquisition of real estate only by foreign national individuals and the companies that possess legal personality and are incorporated in foreign countries in accordance with the laws of those countries. Therefore, the companies that are incorporated or participated in by the foreign investors in Turkey could have acquired real estate just as the companies that are incorporated by the Turkish national investors, without the necessity of a new regulation. In this respect, in my opinion there was no need for a new regulation to enable the companies that are incorporated or participated in by foreign investors in Turkey to acquire real estate in Turkey.

However, as it has been previously stated, the legislator has restructured Article 36 of the Land Registry law in order to prevent any chaos in a manner enabling the companies that are incorporated or participated in by the foreign investors in Turkey to acquire real estate.

According to this article, *“Companies possessing a legal personality, incorporated or participated in by foreign investors in Turkey may acquire and manage immovable property ownerships and limited in rem rights in order to carry out the activities stated in their articles*

of association. The same principal shall be valid in case of transfer of an immovable property acquired in a manner stated here to another foreign investment company established in Turkey and in case of a local investment company having the ownership of an immovable property becoming a foreign investment company by way of a share transfer. During the liquidation process of a foreign investment company established in Turkey holding the ownership of an immovable property, if the foreign real person shareholders or foreign commercial companies established in foreign countries wishes to acquire the ownership of such immovable property, the provisions of article 35 shall apply.

Acquisitions of immovable property by such companies, reserving the provisions of the Restricted Military and Security Areas Law numbered 2565 and dated 18.12.1981, within restricted military areas, security areas and the strategic areas defined within the framework of Article 28 of the same Law, are subject to the consent of the Turkish General Staff or any commandership that will be authorized by the Turkish General Staff, and acquisitions of immovable property in the special security areas are subject to the consent of the relevant governorship. The issues subject to the consent of a governorship shall be resolved through assessment of the compliance of the acquisition of the immovable property with national security and the scope and objective in a commission comprising of the representatives of the relevant authorities.

If the immovable properties and limited in rem rights that are determined to have been acquired or managed in contradiction with the provisions of this Article are not disposed by their owners within the period to be granted by the Ministry of Finance, they will be disposed and their value will be paid to the title holder.

The principles and procedures for the enforcement of this article will be defined by a regulation to be issued by the Treasury Under secretariat, Ministry of Finance, Ministry of Internal Affairs, Ministry of Public Works and Settlement and Ministry of National Defense upon obtaining the arguments of the relevant Ministries.”²⁷

Although the Article of the Law came into force as stated above, “The Circular Regarding the Amended Articles 35 and 36 of the Title Law” issued by the General Directorate of Land

²⁷ Article 36 of Turkish Land Registry Law

Registry and Cadastre on 17.07.2008 has gone beyond the legal provisions and has set forth the below provisions.

The provisions set forth in such Circular are as follows; (i) acquisitions of immovable property by the companies established in accordance with Foreign Direct Investment Law numbered 4875 are subject to the consent of the Turkish General Staff for the determination whether such immovable is located within restricted military areas, security areas and the strategic areas defined within the framework of Article 28 of the Law numbered 2565, and in case such immovable is located in such areas, to determine whether the sale of such property would create any problems, (ii) whether or not the acquisition of the immovable subject to sale complies with the scope and objective of the company and whether it is located in a special security area, and if so, whether there are any problems with its sale are subject to the consent of the governorship.